SECRET		EX CON	IDENTIAL 993145		SIFII
Approved For	Pelease 2004/07	′/29 : CIA	RDP78B0477	31⊮6	
TO:			DATE		
			23 February 1967		
CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT BRANCH/PD/OL			INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)		
			ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE		
NAME OF CONTRACTOR			Phase I completed, Pr	iase 73	
THAME OF CONTRACTOR			• •		
TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE Phase I Design Study	udy and Demon	stration	n/Automatic Multiple Imag	e Integrat	ion
THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE			THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY RI	EMAIN WITHIN A	LLOCAT
YES NO			FUNDS TYPES ON O IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, OF REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF A DITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.		
PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED -					
PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED -			DITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.		
HAS AN INTEDIM DEDOD	T FINAL REPORT	PROTOTYPE	OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED	FROM THE CON	TRACTO
DURING THE PERIOD?			details on reverse side.)	- 1.10 1712 0011	
HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED (If yes, indicate items,			CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD?	YES	KXI '
		INCEN	ITIVES		
IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT IF YES, CHECK TYPE	X YES	□ NO	NOTE: USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.		
	ERFORMANCE DE	LIVERY	FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCE	TIVE EVALUATI	ON.
	OVERALL	PERFORMAN	NCE OF CONTRACTOR		
1. OUTSTANDING	4. AE	BOVE AVERA	GE 7. UNSATISFACTORY		
2. EXCELLENT	5. <b>XX</b> AV	VERAGE	Const		
3. VERY GOOD	6. M	INIMUM ACC	EPTARL F		
اسا	ليا		SFACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE I	NDICATE	
IF OVERALL PERFORMAL					
REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.				·	
		RECOMMEN	DED ACTION		
		RECOMMEN	WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.		RECOMMEN		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.		RECOMMEN	WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE	·	
REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.  CONTINUE AS PROGRAMM  TERMINATE	MED		withhold Payment Pending Satisfactory Performance  Other (Specify)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
TERMINATE  IF TERMINATION IS RE	MED ECOMMENDED OR IF T FORMANCE AND CERT	THIS IS A IFY THAT A	WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE  OTHER (Specify)  FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REV		
TERMINATE  IF TERMINATION IS REFORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERF	MED ECOMMENDED OR IF T FORMANCE AND CERT	THIS IS A IFY THAT A	WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE  OTHER (Specify)  FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REV		DOES
TERMINATE  IF TERMINATION IS REFORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFRECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE.	ECOMMENDED OR IF T FORMANCE AND CERT , WHERE APPLICABLI	THIS IS A IFY THAT A E. THE FOL  DOES NOT.	WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE  OTHER (Specify)  FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REV LL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CLOWING:	ONTRACT HAVE	DOES
TERMINATE  IF TERMINATION IS REFORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORM ON THESE INCLUDE,  ITEM  PROTOTYPES  DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS	ECOMMENDED OR IF T FORMANCE AND CERT , WHERE APPLICABLI	THIS IS A IFY THAT A E. THE FOL  DOES NOT.	WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE  OTHER (Specify)  FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REV LL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE C LOWING:  ITEM  MANUALS  FINAL REPORT	ONTRACT HAVE	DOES
REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.  CONTINUE AS PROGRAMM  TERMINATE  IF TERMINATION IS RE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERF RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE.  ITEM  PROTOTYPES  DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS	ECOMMENDED OR IF T FORMANCE AND CERT , WHERE APPLICABLI	THIS IS A IFY THAT A E. THE FOL  DOES NOT.	WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE  OTHER (Specify)  FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REV LL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CLOWING:  ITEM  MANUALS  FINAL REPORT  SPECIAL TOOLING	ONTRACT HAVE	DOES
TERMINATE  IF TERMINATION IS REFORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFRECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE.  ITEM  PROTOTYPES  DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS  PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER	ECOMMENDED OR IF TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TO	THIS IS A IFY THAT A E. THE FOL  DOES NOT.	WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE  OTHER (Specify)  FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REV LL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE C LOWING:  ITEM  MANUALS  FINAL REPORT	ONTRACT HAVE	DOES
TERMINATE  IF TERMINATE  IF TERMINATION IS REFORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMED THESE INCLUDE.  ITEM  PROTOTYPES  DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS  PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS	ECOMMENDED OR IF TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TO	THIS IS A IFY THAT A E. THE FOL  DOES NOT.	WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE  OTHER (Specify)  FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REV LL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CLOWING:  ITEM  MANUALS  FINAL REPORT  SPECIAL TOOLING	ONTRACT HAVE	
TERMINATE  IF TERMINATE  IF TERMINATION IS REFORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMED.  ITEM  PROTOTYPES  DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS  PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS  DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTORY  DATE OF LAST C	ECOMMENDED OR IF TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TO	THIS IS A IFY THAT A E. THE FOL  DOES NOT.	WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE  OTHER (Specify)  FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REV LL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CLOWING:  ITEM  MANUALS  FINAL REPORT  SPECIAL TOOLING	ONTRACT HAVE	DOES
TERMINATE  IF TERMINATION IS REFORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFRECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE.  ITEM  PROTOTYPES  DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS  PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS  DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTACT  21 February 1967	ECOMMENDED OR IF TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TO	THIS IS A IFY THAT A E. THE FOL  DOES NOT.	WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE  OTHER (Specify)  FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REV LL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CLOWING:  ITEM  MANUALS  FINAL REPORT  SPECIAL TOOLING  OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY	ONTRACT HAVE	DOES

FORM 1897 Approved For Release 2004/07/29: CIA POP REPORT POP PROPERTIES Declass Review by NGA.

They presented a summary of their work on Phase I and recommendation for Phase 2. They also submitted a test dated 19 January 1967. Indications are that there is little to be gained from fabricating an automatic multiple image integration printer under Phase 2. The quality of the fill used at NFIC is such that multiple integration of negatives would not approclably improve the product.	
They presented a summary of their work on Phase I and recommendation for Phase 2. They also submitted a text dated 19 January 1967. Indications are that there is little to be gained from fabricating an automatic multiple image integration printer under Phase 2. The quality of the fill used at NPIC is such that multiple integration of negatives would not appreciably improve the product.	• 
They presented a summary of their work on Phase I and recommendation for Phase 2. They also submitted a text dated 19 January 1967. Indications are that there is little to be gained from fabricating an automatic multiple image integration printer under Phase 2. The quality of the fill used at NPIC is such that multiple integration of negatives would not appreciably improve the product.	
They presented a summary of their work on Phase I and recommendation for Phase 2. They also submitted a text dated 19 January 1967. Indications are that there is little to be gained from fabricating an automatic multiple image integration printer under Phase 2. The quality of the fill used at NPIC is such that multiple integration of negatives would not appreciably improve the product.	
for Phase 2. They also submitted a text dated 19 January 1967. Indications are that there is little to be gained from fabricating an automatic multiple image integration printer under Phase 2. The quality of the fill used at NPIC is such that multiple integration of negatives would not appreciably improve the product.  submitted a proposed change in scope for the work in Phase 2. The change they suggested was rejected because of similar work being accomplished with another company under another contract.  has submitted a proposal for a change in scope for Phase 2 that would do further correlation work which could be used in the Stereo Scann	r <b>i</b> efe
for Phase 2. They also submitted a text dated 19 January 1967. Indications are that there is little to be gained from fabricating an automatic multiple image integration printer under Phase 2. The quality of the fill used at NPIC is such that multiple integration of negatives would not appreciably improve the product.  submitted a proposed change in scope for the work in Phase 2. The change they suggested was rejected because of similar work being accomplished with another company under another contract.  has submitted a proposal for a change in scope for Phase 2 that would do further correlation work which could be used in the Stereo Scann	
tions are that there is little to be gained from fabricating an automatic multiple image integration printer under Phase 2. The quality of the fill used at NPIC is such that multiple integration of negatives would not appreciably improve the product.  submitted a proposed change in scope for the work in Phase 2. The change they suggested was rejected because of similar work being accomplished with another company under another contract.  has submitted a proposal for a change in scope for Phase 2 that would do further correlation work which could be used in the Stereo Scann	
used at NPIC is such that multiple integration of negatives would not appreciably improve the product.	ic
submitted a proposed change in scope for the work in Phase 2.  The change they suggested was rejected because of similar work being accomplished with another company under another contract.  has submitted a proposal for a change in scope for Phase 2 that would do further correlation work which could be used in the Stereo Scann	LIM
The change they suggested was rejected because of similar work being accomplished with another company under another contract.	
accomplished with another company under another contract.	
has submitted a proposal for a change in scope for Phase 2 that would do further correlation work which could be used in the Stereo Scann	
would do further correlation work which could be used in the Stereo Scann	12 <b>+</b>
Program and the stereo comparator program. This proposal is being evaluated as the stereo comparator program.	nning
	.uated